

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,952	06/29/2007	Rainer Knoss	566/45197	5432
23646 7590 11/18/2009 BARNES & THORNBURG LLP 750-17TH STREET NW			EXAMINER	
			LE, MARK T	
SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-4675			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/593 952 KNOSS, RAINER Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner MARK T. LE 3617 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/00) 6) Other: Paper No(s)/Mail Date Jun 07. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/593,952 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

 The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because phrases that can be implied, such as "the present disclosure relates ...", line 1 of the amended abstract, filed on June 29, 2007, should be avoided. On the other hand, the abstract is too short.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watanabe (US 6,954,690).

Watanabe discloses a sanding system including sand container 11, compressed air source 13, closed pressure container 14, and solenoid valves 16,17; wherein, the closed pressure container has an effect of smoothing out any pulsing of the compressed air from pump 13.

Regarding the instant claimed method steps recited in method claim 10, note that operation of the system of Wantanabe is considered to require all of the steps recited in the instant method claim.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Application/Control Number: 10/593,952

Art Unit: 3617

 Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe (US 6,954,690) in view of Hewitt (US 3,889,991).

Watanabe is applied above.

Regarding the manual intervention step, recited in instant claim 11, note that manual and automatic controls are well known. For example, consider column 2, lines 63-66 of Hewitt; wherein, manual and automatic controls are described. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to also include in the structure of Watanabe a manual control capability, as well known, so as to achieve the added expected advantages of manual controls.

- Claims 1-9 are allowable.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
 examiner should be directed to MARK T. LE whose telephone number is (571)2726682. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, between 8:15-4:45
 (Teleworking).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark Le/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

mle 11/12/09